



WHOLISTIC LIVING NETWORK VACCINE ASSESSMENT GUIDE

©2020 The Bhakta School of Transformation, Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

At Wholistic Living Network you will not find the mainstream media and Big Pharma view that all vaccines are safe and the science is settled. That's a narrative that we're sure you already know. However, the science on vaccines is far from settled and the present approach to that science has a dark, harmful side.

Our aim here is to provide you with the other side of the debate, supported by concrete, evidence-based, peer-reviewed research and prominent facts that show you should carefully consider the data about the dangers of vaccines and the many, many cases of vaccine injury, before you decide on whether or not to take a vaccine. Go here for the latest, up-to-date info we have posted, including information on vaccine passports.

<https://wholisticlivingnetwork.org/vaccine-safety-what-you-need-to-know/>

The Right to Refuse Vaccination

Equally important is the information we are presenting here to encourage you to protect your right to health freedom, by way of fully informed consent and freedom of choice. **Informed consent means *the right to refuse vaccination, based on being fully informed, without being discriminated against.***

This is the area in which Big Pharma, by bribing government, continues a world-wide campaign to force vaccines on us, regardless of what is in them that is known to cause injury and chronic health conditions that, in many cases are permanent, and death.

In fact, they can (and do) put anything they want into the vaccines and you would never know it. In the manufacture of vaccines today, there are no safety standards with third party, peer-reviewed review that is not connected to conflicts of interest. There is no requirement on the part of Big Pharma to prove the efficacy of the vaccines, along with safety standards that are *enforced* by a third party *with no conflicts of interest*.

This is because vaccine manufacturers **have been permanently indemnified from all liability** (you can't sue them due to injury from their product, even when their own internal documents show that they knew about the dangers and did nothing to remove them). So, they have absolutely no incentive to spend a few pennies more to make the vaccines safe.

This is all the more reason why our right to fully informed consent, along with the right to refuse without being discriminated against, must be protected. This right is already being stripped away by state and federal government. The increase in the removal of all exemptions to the annual flu shot is one example of this.

We're Not Anti-Vaccine – We Are for Vaccine Safety/Efficacy and the Right to Choose

We will be the first to stand in line for a vaccine:

- If, in the development of a vaccine, the vaccine undergoes double-blind saline placebo safety testing (the standard for medical science that is not currently applied to vaccines) that is monitored and certified by third parties with no conflict of interest and proved to be safe in the proper animal trials (3-8 years minimum), followed by voluntary human trials.
- If the vaccine does not alter the human genome, making cell function reliant upon further vaccination and medication.
- If the vaccine is manufactured without any carcinogenic adjuvants that are currently used in all vaccines, that are known to edit the human genome permanently and downregulate immune system function.
- If reliable, third-party, peer-reviewed studies are produced and presented to the public that show that vaccinated people are healthier than those who are not vaccinated. To date, and with a recent CDC

admission government agencies including the CDC have never performed such tests. However, scientists at Children's Health Defense have, as well as, other independent medical teams. You can see some studies here <https://ipaknowledge.org/ipak-vaxxed-v-unvaxxed-study.php> and here <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/news/new-research-study-clarifies-health-outcomes-in-vaccinated-versus-unvaccinated-children/>

The citizenry must have the freedom to demand answers to safety and human rights concerns over vaccines, medications, public health policy and planned mandates designed to change our way of life without our consent.

We must have the freedom to do so without being labeled and persecuted as anti-government, anti-medicine, anti-public safety and anti-vaccines.

Again, it is our feeling that informed consent and freedom of choice must become the law of the land once again. Every person must be allowed to weigh the risks against the rewards of any vaccine or other medication, based on accurate information and whether or not safety standards have been met **with third party oversight that is not mired in conflicts of interest** – *with the preservation of our right to refuse.*

Vaccines Have a Long Diagnostic Horizon

If you knew that a vaccine that you take today can alter your DNA and change your human genome would you still take it? If you knew that a vaccine you take today could cause serious illness, the full expression of symptoms for which you will not even see for 5, 10, 15 years after you take the vaccine, would you still take it?

At the core of the debate on vaccines is the fact that today's vaccines, particularly when given to young adults and adults, have a long diagnostic horizon.

The down-regulation of cell function and immunosuppression occurs over an extended period of months and years where symptoms of vaccine injury gradually start to present as the injury is enhanced by an over-burdened immune system, developing chronic health conditions and increased exposure to EMFs, cell phone radiation, dirty electricity and other environmental factors – as well as food toxins.

Vaccine injury symptoms are also triggered by the presence of retro viruses that can be acquired through additional vaccine uptake at any time.

Can't Trust the CDC and WHO – Don't Take Their Word For It

The CDC and WHO have become marketing arms for Big Pharma and are heavily financed by individuals and organizations having huge conflicts of interest. This is so, particularly with respect to vaccines and the digitization of our health information, cell, organ and tissues vitals and identities into a central, computerized database run by them.

Due to these conflicts of interest that are mostly financial in nature (combined with personal ideologies that are in direct conflict with our Constitutional rights), **do not take their word for anything.**

It is now necessary that each person vigilantly vet everything we are being told by these organizations. There is no reason to trust them because they have violated the public trust over and over again. So, it is essential that you do your own research in order to verify whatever these organizations present. *Get all the facts independently of what they say, before you decide.*

Your Doctor/Pediatrician

There are some really great doctors in the medical field who uphold their Hippocratic oath and are genuinely concerned for their patient's welfare – in a way that they hope to not have to see their patients on a regular basis or at all. Instead, they help the patient derive a preventive, holistic health lifestyle. God bless such practitioners!

Then there are many other doctors who see their patients as dollar signs and are only interested in treating symptoms, rather than helping their patients get to the root cause of their illness and lack of well-being. And many of these doctors have little or no education in cellular nutrition and alternative, holistic, non-toxic therapies. They have been trained as the foot soldiers for Big Pharma. In you are not aware, Pharmaceutical companies develop most of the medical curriculum that is offered in medical school.

Therefore, it is essential that you understand that your doctor is your paid consultant. And whatever your medical consultant tells you is a

matter of your vetting what you've been told by performing your own due diligence in verifying the diagnosis and prognosis you've been given. Only in this way can you exercise your right to informed consent, your right to choose and your right to refuse.

Your doctor is your paid consultant. Know your body and the science of your biology/physiology. Study it and only work with doctors/health practitioners with whom you can trust in such a relationship. And walk away from doctors who treat you like an idiot and insist that you do as they say without your input and verification.

Read the Package Inserts

Before you allow your child to be vaccinated or before you take a vaccine, be certain to read the following:

1. The package insert that comes in the box with the vaccine vials.
2. The VIS (vaccine information sheet) that the doctor was provided with when receiving the vaccine kits.
3. The patent document filed with the USTPO trademark and patent office (you can view these for free at the USTPO site).
4. The EUA (emergency use authorization) application filed with the FDA, if the biologic is being used in a state of emergency.
5. The FDA licensing application and approval document for the licensing of the product.

The last three on the list are becoming increasingly important to vet, due to vaccine manufacturers not being required to put all the information on the package inserts and VIS documents.

Your doctor/pediatrician is obligated to show you the package insert and VIS as part of your fully informed consent process. Most doctors will not volunteer this information, so you have to request it. So, you have to demand to see it. Because we are certain that, after you read the documents on the above list, in the majority of circumstances, you will not agree to being vaccinated or having your children vaccinated.

If your doctor/pediatrician refuses to show you the package insert and VIS or makes excuses for not having it, you've got the wrong doctor.

****For all Covid-19 shots, in order to see what's actually in the shots and what they are designed to do, you will have to read the FDA application for use and the patent filing documents.**

Indemnification From All Liability In Perpetuity

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 was passed by the U.S. Congress, in response to a threat from vaccine companies that they were no longer going to manufacture vaccines. The threat was posed because, at that time, vaccine manufacturers were faced with thousands of lawsuits against them for vaccine injury caused by their product. So, rather than spend a little extra money (literally 5 to 10 cents more per vial in many cases) to make the vaccines safer, they threatened the American people in this way.

In short, the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 indemnifies all vaccine manufacturers from any and all liability for injury resulting from the use of their product, citing that vaccines are "unfavorably unsafe." The bill also places full responsibility for ensuring that vaccine companies perform adequate safety testing on their vaccines, and monitoring that testing, on the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). To date, DHHS has not produced a single safety test on any approved vaccine that has gone to market. DHHS is currently being sued by several parties over this matter.

The Case of Bruesewitz vs. Wyeth Labs

After the passage of this law, there was still a loophole in the law that allowed people to sue if they could prove a debilitating side effect directly connected to the vaccine. Then, in 2011, the case of *Bruesewitz vs. Wyeth Labs* went all the way to the Supreme Court. With only two dissenting opinions, the U.S. Supreme Court closed that loophole by passing into law total indemnification of liability for vaccine manufacturers, *in perpetuity*.

In the final brief, referring to The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, the Supreme Court said: "Most importantly, the Act eliminates manufacturer liability for a vaccine's unavoidable, adverse side effects." So, regardless of how debilitating the vaccine industry's products are, it is

impossible to sue a vaccine manufacturer for product injury, unless you can prove willful fraud on the part of the manufacturer. The only viable legal means left is to sue DHHS for not overseeing the proper safety studies.

What other product on the planet do you know of where you can't sue the product manufacturer for negligence and harm!?

Vaccine Court

Upon the passage of the 1986 act, the U.S. Congress established the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program – or vaccine court. The vaccine court is funded by vaccine manufacturers who escrow funds (as part of their cost of doing business) that are awarded by the court for vaccine injury.

This has become somewhat of a kangaroo court in that the judges who hear the cases are actually magistrates (administrative law judges – meaning attorneys appointed to the court), many of whom take the revolving door in and out of Big Pharma companies.

Often, these 'judges' refuse to consider the scientific proof backing the claim of injury, instead relying on the statistical theory known as "correlation does not equal causation." This theory used in broad-brushing statistics to predict trends based on computer models, states that, if there is a direct correlation between X and the appearance of Y, it cannot be said that X caused Y.

In other words if, within hours of being injected with a vaccine, a child or adult begins having seizures, rashes, high fever, nausea and vomiting, according to this theory the vaccine could not have caused those symptoms, even when the reoccurrence of this event in the same way, in thousands of patients takes place over and over again!

So, vaccine court is often rigged in favor of protecting Big Pharma's bottom line. The "correlation does not equal causation" theory is just a legal maneuver to protect the vaccine manufacturer. This makes for an uphill battle for people to be compensated for their vaccine injury, medical and legal expenses and loss of future earning capacity. Winning cases are awarded up to \$250,000 for pain and suffering, with a death benefit of up to \$250,000 in certain cases.

People receiving compensation are, in many cases, permanently injured with serious cases of debilitating disease, including the development of autism and cancer. In children who are vaccinated on the current vaccine schedule, these injuries include permanent brain damage, autism, living in a catatonic state, no ability to control bowel movements and urination, head-banging, violent behavior, defecating on floors and spreading feces on walls, permanent cognitive damage. The list goes on and on.

All the money in the world will not reverse these conditions. And most people who are compensated, run through that money very quickly. The cost of care that can only address symptoms at best *is very, very high*.

5-Year Moratorium

The answer is to have a 5-year moratorium on all vaccination so that proper safety measures and oversight can be put into place, along with laws and constitutional amendments that protect each and every person's right to refuse, based on informed consent. Then, those who consent to taking a vaccine knowing ALL the risks can do so, and those who do not consent after examining all the facts can decide against, without any repercussion or enforced consequence by government.

The National Vaccine Information Center

The non-profit National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC)

<https://www.nvic.org/>

is an independent clearinghouse for information on diseases and vaccine science, policy, law and the ethical principle of informed consent. NVIC publishes information about vaccination and health to encourage educated decision-making. NVIC does not make vaccine use recommendations. NVIC supports the availability of all preventive health care options and the legal right for individuals to make fully informed, voluntary health choices for themselves and their children.

The mission of the National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) is to prevent vaccine injuries and deaths through public education and advocating for informed consent protections in medical policies and public health laws. NVIC defends the human right to freedom of thought and conscience and

supports the inclusion of flexible medical, religious and conscientious belief exemptions in vaccine policies and laws.

Before you even consider vaccination, examine the work of this organization. They've been at it for many years and have a wealth of knowledge and information that you will need to make informed decisions about vaccination.

Children's Health Defense

Children's Health Defense (CHD)

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/>

is run by Robert F. Kennedy Jr., the son of the assassinated Robert F. Kennedy and the nephew of the assassinated President John F. Kennedy. Kennedy is also an attorney who won many legal cases to clean up the Hudson River from toxic dumping. His water keeper organization protects the river and helps catch and arrest toxic dumpers.

CHD is a very strong organization with a full legal staff and staff of scientists and researchers. CHD is engaged in leading the movement for vaccine safety and efficacy, while bringing lawsuits against government mandates and business corruption that allow unsafe vaccines to be administered to children and adults, as a requirement – and also bringing cases against vaccine manufacturers for fraud.

You can get on their email list for free. For \$10, you can become a lifetime member and that gives you access to their library of data on vaccines, as well as access to all videos and information in their pending litigation. You can also find out about their local and regional chapters around the world.

Informed Consent Action Network

The Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN) has been established to fight for health/medical freedom in protecting the right to informed consent and the right to refuse, based on that informed consent.

ICAN has brought lawsuits against Big Pharma and its vaccine manufacturers for fraud, negligence and vaccine injury to children and

adults. This organization is worthy of your support. You can view more about their lawsuits here

<https://www.icandecide.org/lawsuits/>

<https://vaccine.guide/misconduct/departments-of-health-and-human-services/ican-hhs-lawsuit/>

Huge Conflicts of Interest

The fact is, when it comes to the manufacture and use of vaccines, there is a lot of corruption behind the narrative that vaccines are safe and necessary for public and private health.

One only has to follow the money (which we have done) to understand the alarming degree of this corruption that has placed profits before people for many decades – corruption that has often included fraud and other criminal activities to protect repeated lies made to cover up harmful mistakes that have been repeatedly ignored. Science that could have been supported to improve vaccine efficacy and safety is, instead, attacked and vilified to prevent change that will benefit public health, at an additional cost and liability to Big Pharma.

As a result, there are so many conflicts of interest driving the public health agenda, particularly with respect to vaccines, that following the money reveals a level of corruption that is undeniable and must now be addressed.

To understand this further, use the following links to view important videos and sources of important books that offer documented proof of this corruption.

PlandemicIndoctrination

<https://wholisticlivingnetwork.org/pandemic-or-plandemic/>

VAXXED – FROM COVER-UP TO CATASTROPHE (2016)

<https://vaxxedthemovie.com/>

VAXXED 2

<https://www.vaxxed2.com/>

Plague of Corruption. *Restoring Faith in the Promise of Science*, by Dr. Judy Mikovits and Kent Heckenlively, Foreword by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

<https://www.amazon.com/Plague-Corruption-Restoring-Promise-Science/dp/1510752242>

The 9 Questions To Ask Your Doctor/Pediatrician Before Accepting a Vaccine

Before you accept a vaccine that is being recommended or dictated by your doctor/health practitioner, we strongly recommend you ask the following questions.

1. Have you read the package insert, VIS, FDA filing documents and/or the patent filing documents for this vaccine?
2. I'd like to read the package insert for this vaccine, along with the VIS (vaccine information sheet). Do you have those for me to read now? (if the doctor doesn't have those)... Why not?
3. Referring to the package insert and the VIS, go down the list of ingredients and ask, "What is this and why is it in the vaccine?"
4. Have you seen the studies and evidence-based research provided by the Cochrane Collective, Children's Health Defense, Informed Consent Action Network, Dr. Judy Mikovits, Dr. Sherri Tenpenny, Dr. Rashid Buttar, Dr. Zach Bush, Dr. William Thompson, Neil Z. Miller and his critical review of vaccine studies, Dr. Joseph Mercola, research scientist Mike Adams, Dr. Brian Hooker, research scientist Andy Wakefield, Dr./Virologist Luc Montagnier, Dr. David Weldon, research scientist Mark Blaxill, Merck whistleblower Brandy Vaughn, senior research scientist Stephanie Seneff, among others – studies on vaccine injury and efficacy?
5. Have you seen or reviewed any studies that compare the vaccinated to the unvaccinated to determine which group is healthier?
6. Do you and your family get vaccinated? Do you vaccinate your children on the same CDC schedule as other children?
7. Are you aware of the National Vaccine Information Center and do you read their studies and findings?
8. Are you familiar with and do you make reports to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS)? (If so,...) How many vaccine injury reports have you had to file in the last two years and for which vaccines?
9. Do you have any financial interest, i.e. hold patents or stocks on the vaccine you're recommending I take?

Vaccine Safety Testing

Currently, vaccines are not tested with double-blind saline placebo tests, the standard for testing of immunizations products. Vaccine manufacturers stopped using saline placebo tests because the vaccines in development were failing this test.

In addition, there is no third-party oversight of safety testing by those with no conflict of interest. This leaves us to trust the manufacturer to tell us whatever they want about whether or not the vaccines are safe, with no means of directly verifying the same. **When a vaccine company says "our vaccines are safe," that's marketing, not science.**

Also, there are no independent, peer-reviewed studies of vaccines initiated by vaccine manufacturers or our government. The only ones in existence have been done by independent teams of doctors and scientists who are labeled quacks by Big Pharma and mainstream media for doing so while, at the same time, telling us there are no 'official' studies that have been done. *It's time for us to demand that our government oversee such studies of all vaccines brought to market.*

For more information on vaccine safety, visit this page for references and watch the videos on this page.

<https://wholisticlivingnetwork.org/vaccine-safety-what-you-need-to-know/>

Retroviruses

Retroviruses are viruses contained in animal and insect tissue. These tissues are used in the manufacture of vaccines. Animal and insect tissue does not belong in human beings. There are many harmful diseases that spread from animals to human beings. This is called zoonosis or zoonotic diseases. Animals and insects carry retroviruses. These retroviruses are in their cells and tissues. When vaccinated with animal or insect tissue, the retroviruses are transferred into the blood stream of humans where they find their way into cells and tissues.

These retroviruses are known to downregulate the human immune system while also damaging cells, tissues and organs. Currently, there is no cure for retroviruses. They hide and 'sleep' in human tissue, organs and cells until triggered by toxins, stress, an overburdened immune system,

medications, vaccines and environmental factors that allow them to enhance immunodeficiency and wreak havoc on other cells.

These retroviruses are in the XMRV family and come from simian immunodeficiency viruses (SIV). These are viruses contained in monkeys, chimpanzees and other primates. They first jumped from animals to humans in the early 1900s when polio vaccines made with the tissues of these animals was being experimented/tested on tribes in Africa. This is how diseases like HIV/AIDS came to be.

Anything that invokes an immune response can trigger sleeping retroviruses, waking them up and causing them to trigger what is stated above. This is why continued vaccination of any kind, including the annual flu shot, can cause a cascade of chronic illness and disease, some of the symptoms of which may not present until years later. If not turned-off, they can cause a great deal of damage.

To understand this more fully, use this link to watch the interview of Dr. Judy Mikovits.

<https://wholisticlivingnetwork.org/dr-judy-mikovits/>

Use these links for more information about retroviruses/zoonotic diseases.

<https://www.healthline.com/health/zoonosis>

<http://www.aidsorigins.com/>

Pentagon Study: Flu Shot Raises Risk of Coronavirus by 36% (and Other Supporting Studies)

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/news/vaccine-misinformation-flu-shots-equal-health/>

<https://www.disabledveterans.org/2020/03/11/flu-vaccine-increases-coronavirus-risk/>

https://www.lewrockwell.com/2020/04/no_author/new-study-the-flu-vaccine-is-significantly-associated-with-an-increased-risk-of-coronavirus/

<https://www.cdc.gov/onehealth/basics/zoonotic-diseases.html>

<https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2019/s0506-zoonotic-diseases-shared.html>

<https://doctormurray.com/does-the-flu-shot-increase-covid-19-risk/>

Other Vaccine Efficacy Problems

There are many other vaccine efficacy problems you should be aware of before ever agreeing to be vaccinated. Use the following resource to stay informed by monitoring this page.

<https://wholisticlivingnetwork.org/vaccine-safety-what-you-need-to-know/>

Are Vaccines Even Necessary?

There are certain situations in which a vaccine may be necessary for a short-term, medical intervention in people who are not healthy and who are suffering a number of underlying chronic health conditions and diseases. People suffering from

- Diabetes
- Heart disease
- Kidney/Liver Disease
- Lung conditions or disease
- Cancer
- Compromised immune system
- And others

may need to consider being vaccinated, based on discussion with their doctor and having read the documents on the list presented earlier in this document. There are major risks to being vaccinated that have to be weighed against any potential benefit. You have to know what those risks are so that you can make an intelligent decisions based on fully informed consent.

The best way to protect yourself from viral or other pathogenic infection is to strengthen your immune system, holistically, using lifestyle medicine. For more information about this use this link to watch the video on this page.

<https://wholisticlivingnetwork.org/vaccine-safety-what-you-need-to-know/>

Inexpensive Alternative Treatment Therapies for SARS-COV2 That Work

Thousands of doctors on the frontline treating patients infected with SARS-COV2 are using natural, holistic therapies to cure the infection. These are shown to work in every case, with infected people being cured in a matter of days. This is the case even for elderly people 70 and up.

These treatment therapies are inexpensive and effective. They are:

- Low dose Hydroxychloroquine.
- Intravenous, high dose vitamin C.
- Intravenous, high dose vitamin C combined with intravenous, high dose vitamin D.
- Intravenous vitamin C, Zinc, low dose Hydroxychloroquine, and Azithromycin, combined.
- Budesonide.
- Ivermectin.
- Iodine therapy.
- Nebulized peroxide therapy.

In addition to the above, herbal combinations high in anti-oxidants have also been shown to work. These therapies are being used to cure SARS-COV2 infection all across South America, in Italy, in Spain and parts of Africa. It costs about \$15-\$60 per treatment. So, why aren't we using it in the U.S. to save the lives of those who are dying while we are coerced/forced into taking Covid shots and Covid booster shots that will likely not be safe?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MhLD1P5nH30>

<https://americasfrontlinedoctors.org/covid19/summit-i/>

<https://americasfrontlinedoctors.org/covid19/summit-ii/>

<https://event.webinarjam.com/login/1z7vwtyilfqf7y78b3>

<https://event.webinarjam.com/login/l9qg6u5svi5iq8l6hn>

<https://vaxxter.com/>

<https://medium.com/microbial-instincts/the-first-clinical-trial-to-support-vitamin-d-therapy-for-covid-19-906a9d907468>

<https://physiciansforinformedconsent.org/videos/>

TWENTY PROBLEMS WITH VACCINE SCIENCE

Alvin H. Moss, MD, FACP, FAAHPM*

1. No placebo-controlled studies with saline injection as the placebo,,,
2. Short duration of follow-up (as little as days to weeks),,
3. Sixty percent of vaccines contain aluminum, but there are no human or animal studies involving SC or IM injections of aluminum to establish the safety of injecting infants and children with aluminum hydroxide, aluminum phosphate or amorphous aluminum hydroxyphosphate sulfate.,
4. One-size-fits-all. Newborns have 20% of the kidney function of a 2 year old (excretion of aluminum through the kidneys is the main route to remove systemic aluminum) yet both receive the same dose of aluminum-containing vaccines; the one-size-fits-all approach is in stark contrast to precision medicine, an emerging approach for disease treatment and prevention that takes into account individual variability in genes, environment, and lifestyle for each person.
5. No safety studies on the entire vaccine schedule;, Institute of Medicine (IOM) recommended studies which have not been done. IOM noted, "...studies designed to examine the long-term effects of the cumulative number of vaccines or other aspects of the immunization schedule have not been conducted."
6. Monitoring largely for pre-specified and solicited adverse events in clinical trials leading to vaccine approval
7. No active post-marketing surveillance (Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System is passive and voluntary)
8. No vaccinated versus unvaccinated studies by CDC to learn true adverse events of vaccine.
9. No research to identify those with preexisting susceptibilities to vaccine injury
10. Small sample sizes in clinical trials that do not allow detection of less frequent severe adverse events compounded by underreporting in voluntary, passive post-market surveillance.
11. No incentive to improve vaccine safety because vaccine makers cannot be sued (and consequently no changes to improve safety in a particular vaccine during the 17-year life of the patent)
12. Underreporting of vaccine injuries—less than 1% (Harvard 2010 study)—so no good way to assess balance of benefits versus harms

13. No studies for carcinogenicity, mutagenicity and impairment of fertility
14. No adequate research base to evaluate vaccine safety—Institute of Medicine concluded there was insufficient science to accept or reject a causal relationship for 135 adverse events reported with vaccines—"The absence of evidence is not the same as evidence of absence." IOM 2012 report, Adverse Effects of Vaccines: Evidence and Causality.
15. Excessive reliance on observational retrospective studies in which confounding variables cannot be examined (weak science).,
16. No accounting for healthy user bias in observational retrospective and prospective studies.
17. Scientific misconduct in which there is selective or misleading reporting of data or omission of conflicting data to arrive at a desired conclusion,,,
18. Scientific misconduct in which there is deceptive reporting of results to omit important limitations to generalizability of results (e.g., vaccination status of groups are not comparable) or in which groups are deceptively misrepresented as "unvaccinated" when they had received a number of vaccines,
19. Conflicts of interest of those conducting the studies ("investigator determined that deaths associated with vaccine were not vaccine-related"; no Data Safety Monitoring Boards) and those approving the vaccines (Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices),
20. No safety testing of vaccines and vaccine ingredients in pregnant women even though CDC recommends vaccines to pregnant women

*Dr. Moss has more than 40 years of medical practice, research, and teaching experience. His interest in vaccine safety and vaccine injury was first prompted by ethical concerns regarding conflicts of interest in vaccine research and in public policy. The opinions expressed here are his own and do not represent those of his employer.